01			
02			
03			
04			
05			
06			
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
08			TTLE
09	FRED STEPHENS,)	LEAD CASE NO. C12-1067-RAJ-MAT
10	Plaintiff,)	LLAD CASE NO. C12-1007-RAJ-MAT
11	V.)	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS
12	TODD FREDRICKSON, et al.,)	
13	Defendants.)	
14		_ /	
15	Plaintiff proceeds pro se in this civi	l righ	ats action. Now before the Court is plaintiff's
16	Motion to Compel Electronic Recording of Depositions (Dkt. 74) and Motion to Enlarge Time		
17	for Discovery and Dispositive Motions (Dkt. 76). Having considered the motions, defendants'		
18	responses, and the remainder of the record, the Court does hereby find and ORDER as follows:		
19	(1) Plaintiff seeks an order compelling the electronic recording of depositions.		
20	(Dkt. 74.) Defendants set forth a variet	y of	objections to plaintiff's request to conduct
21	depositions, both in the manner requested and as a general matter, none of which merit		
22	substantial discussion. It suffices to say that the Court finds the request to conduct a total of		
	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -1		

three to four depositions (see Dkt. 79 at 3) entirely reasonable. Plaintiff's motion to compel depositions (Dkt. 74) is, accordingly, GRANTED. The Court, however, declines to delineate the precise time, manner, and/or location in which the depositions should occur. Instead, as 03 suggested by plaintiff (see Dkt. 76 at 1-2), the Court ORDERS the parties to promptly meet and confer to resolve issues associated with the depositions and any other outstanding discovery disputes. 06 (2) The Court previously set a November 1, 2013 deadline for the completion of discovery in this matter and a December 6, 2013 deadline for the filing of dispositive motions. Given the above, the Court finds an extension of those deadlines necessary. Accordingly, plaintiff's Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery and Dispositive Motions (Dkt. 76) is GRANTED. All discovery in this matter shall be completed by January 3, 2014, and all dispositive motions shall be filed by January 31, 2014. The directions contained in the Court's September 19, 2012 Order Regarding Pretrial Preparations (Dkt. 23) otherwise remain 13 in effect. (3) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to the parties and to the Hon. Richard A. Jones. DATED this 19th day of November, 2013. Mary Alice Theiler Chief United States Magistrate Judge 1 Plaintiff's request for costs, attorney fees, and sanctions against counsel for defendants (Dkt.

ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -2

01

02

04

05

07

08

09

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

⁸⁰ at 3) is DENIED. While not finding defendants' arguments in opposition to the pending motions persuasive, the Court does not find a sufficient basis for concluding that counsel for defendants has not acted in good faith in relation to discovery.